

Polymer 42 (2001) 1893–1906

www.elsevier.nl/locate/polymer

polymer

Particle-in-particle morphology for the dispersed phase formed in reactive compatibilization of SAN/EPDM blends

C. Pagnoulle, R. Jérôme*

University of Lie`ge, Center for Education and Research on Macromolecules (CERM), Sart-Tilman, B6a, 4000 Lie`ge, Belgium

Received 12 January 2000; received in revised form 5 May 2000; accepted 9 June 2000

Abstract

Poly(ethylene-*co*-propylene-*co*-diene) (EPDM) containing 50 wt% of poly(ethylene-*co*-propylene) grafted with maleic anhydride (EP-*g*-MA) has been melt blended with poly(styrene-*co*-acrylonitrile) (SAN) added with various amounts of reactive SAN, i.e. SAN bearing either primary amine (SAN-NH2) or carbamate groups (SAN-carb). Carbamate groups are precursors of primary amines by thermal thermolysis during melt processing. These reactive systems are good models for studying the effect of the kinetics of the interfacial reaction on the phase morphology. Reaction of maleic anhydride with the primary amine is indeed very fast, at high temperature, in contrast to the reaction with carbamate, which is controlled by the carbamate thermolyis into primary amine. Special attention has been paid to the experimental conditions required for the development of the particle-in-particle morphology for the dispersed phase. Depending on the mixing sequence and the grafting kinetics (NH₂/MA versus carb/MA), this particular phase morphology can be forced or occurs spontaneously. \degree 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Reactive compatibilization; Polymer blends; SAN

1. Introduction

The fine control of the phase morphology of multiphase polyblends is the key approach to impart desired mechanical properties to these materials [1]. For instance, the rubber particle size plays a major role in the toughening of brittle thermoplastics [2]. It is worth pointing out that a complex polymer blend morphology known as composite dispersed phase morphology is spontaneously formed in some instances. This microscopic phase structure is composed of three parts: two distinct phases with sub-inclusions of one phase within the other one [3]. Until now, the origin and the possible effect of the particle-in-particle phase morphology on polyblends properties have been disregarded, except for the well known high-impact polystyrenes that typically show polystyrene occlusions in the dispersed rubbery phase. This composite dispersed phase morphology should thus receive a much more general attention as a possible strategy to tailor some properties of polyblends. Moreover, in the case of toughened thermoplastics, inclusion of small thermoplastic particles in the dispersed phase is an easy way to increase the volume fraction of the dispersed phase or to decrease the interparticle distance,

while keeping the amount of rubber constant. So, at constant rubber content, the toughening properties can be improved by formation of sub-inclusions.

The spontaneous formation of the composite dispersed phase morphology is strongly dependent on the polyblend constituents. For instance, Ban et al. [4] observed a high content of sub-inclusions and a parallel decrease in the diameter of the dispersed rubber phase, when neat poly- (ethylene-*co*-propylene) rubber (EPR) in a nylon matrix was substituted by maleic anhydride grafted EPR. Sundararaj [5] found that occluded particles are predominantly generated in systems in which phase inversion occurs during the melt processing. When the minor phase melts prior to the major phase, very small particles of the major phase are trapped within the minor phase. This phenomenon is more often observed in reactive blends, in which the occluded particles are stabilized against coalescence by graft copolymer formed at the interface. These observations indicate that the sub-inclusions are formed in the early stage of the mixing, before the phase morphology is stabilized [5,6].

The particle-in-particle phase morphology can also be forced when it does not occur spontaneously [3,7]. An efficient strategy consists in dispersing, firstly, part of phase A within phase B, followed by the addition of large amounts of phase A (the final matrix), which triggers phase inversion. The composite dispersed phase morphology accordingly

Corresponding author. Tel.: $+32-4-366-3565$; fax: $+32-4-366-3497$. *E-mail address:* rjerome@ulg.ac.be (R. Jérôme).

Scheme 1.

formed can be stabilized by either control of the viscosity of the dispersed phase or interfacial reaction between the subinclusions and the dispersed phase. This strategy actually relies on the behavior of oil–water emulsions, which go through a phase inversion when additional amount of the component of the dispersed phase is slowly added to the emulsion [8–12].

To the best of our knowledge, no study has been carried out until now, which aims at studying how the kinetics of the interfacial reaction in reactive polymer blending influences the particle-in-particle phase morphology, in relation to the mixing sequence, and thus to the spontaneous or forced generation of sub-inclusions. This question is addressed in this paper which deals with reactive processing of poly(styrene-*co*-acrylonitrile) (SAN)/EPR blends. For this purpose, ethylene–propylene–diene terpolymer (EPDM) containing 50 wt% reactive maleic anhydride grafted EPR (EP-*g*-MA) has been melt blended with SAN added with various amounts of co-reactive SAN: SAN-NH₂ (highly reactive towards maleic anhydride) and SAN-carbamate (precursor of SAN-NH2 at the processing temperature), while changing the addition order of the blend components. Four mixing sequences and their influence on the development of a particle-in-particle phase morphology have been considered.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

SAN used in this work was the RONFALIN 2770 from DSM containing 26.5 wt% acrylonitrile. The maleic anhydride grafted rubber (EPR-*g*-MA), EXXELOR VA 1801 from EXXON, contained 0.6 wt% or 6×10^{-3} mol/wt% succinic/maleic anhydride groups. The nonreactive rubber was the EPDM, KELTAN 4778, from DSM. Terpolymerization of styrene (55 mol%), acrylonitrile (43 mol%) and a carbamate-containing comonomer, {1-methyl-1-[3-(1 methylethenyl)-phenyl]ethyl}carbamic acid 1,1-dimethylethyl ester (see Scheme 1; 2 mol%), was initiated by AIBN in toluene at 60° C for 24 h. It was recovered by precipitation in methanol and characterized by NMR and FTIR. The carbamate pendant groups of functional SAN (i.e. SAN-carb) can be derivatized into primary amines $(i.e. SAM-NH₂)$ either in solution prior to blending [13] or in situ during the melt process [14]. In solution, this deprotection was carried out in a dioxane–HCl $(12 N)$ $(2:1)$ mixture at room temperature. Progress of the reaction was

$$
SAN-NH-C(O)-O-C-(CH_3)_3 \rightarrow SAN-NH_2 + CO_2 \rightarrow CH_2=C-(CH_3)_2 \rightarrow
$$

Scheme 2.

followed by the disappearance of the carbamate IR absorption at 1717 cm⁻¹, and completion was observed after 12 h. The copolymer was recovered as $SAN-NH₂·HCl$ salt upon solvent removal. Neutralization of the salt by NaOH released SAN-NH2. The amine functionality was analyzed by nonaqueous acid–base titration in a toluene–methanol mixture (potentiometric titration) using a standard solution of HClO4. The actual amine (or carbamate) content was 2 mol%, which corresponds to an average number of 28 groups per SAN chain of 10^5 g/mol (as determined by SEC analysis on the basis of a PS calibration curve).

An alternative way to release primary amines consists of heating the SAN-carb copolymer [14]. Indeed, thermolysis of the carbamate groups is known to yield primary amines with release of carbon dioxide and isobutene (Scheme 2). The advantage of this reaction was to produce the primary amine in situ, thus during the melt processing. At the usual mixing temperature of 200° C, the rate constant of the carbamate thermolysis was found to be 0.0084 min⁻¹ [23].

2.2. Mixing conditions

Samples (20 g) were melt blended with a laboratory tworoll mill at 200°C. A masterbatch of EPDM and EP-g-MA was first prepared by melt blending 50 wt% EPR-*g*-MA and EPDM, respectively, for 7 min under moderate shear (rolls $speed = 15$ and 25 rpm, respectively). The EPDM and EPR*g*-MA were stabilized with 0.5 wt% of antioxidant, Pentaerythritol tetrakis(3-(3, 5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl) propianate) (Irganox 1010 form Ciba-Geigy). After mixing at 200°C, the rubber masterbatch was allowed to cool down to room temperature and then pelletized. The mixing process of SAN/EPR reactive blends was conducted in four different ways:

(a) SAN was melt blended with various amounts of reactive SAN (SAN-NH₂ or SAN carbamate containing 0.028 mol/wt% reactive groups) for ca. 2 min at 200° C, under moderate shear, followed by the addition of the (EPDM/EP-*g*-MA 1:1) pre-mixture. The shear was then increased (rolls speed $= 0-1$ and 25 rpm, respectively), and the mixing was extended for 7 additional minutes. The SAN/EPR weight composition was systematically 75/25. This first mixing procedure was denoted as in situ compatibilization.

(b) A reactive two-step procedure was based on the previous melt blending of the (EPDM/EP-*g*-MA 1:1) masterbatch with a mixture of SAN and reactive SAN under the same blending conditions as in method a. The content of reactive SAN in the SAN phase was kept constant (20 wt%), in contrast to the weight composition of the SAN/EPR pre-dispersion that was changed. In a *C. Pagnoulle, R. Je´roˆme / Polymer 42 (2001) 1893–1906* 1895

Weight composition of the SAN/EPR pre-dispersion, where EPR = (EPDM/EP-*g*-MA 1:1).
Wt% of reactive SAN (with respect to SAN in the final blend) added to the pre-dispersion (first mixing step).

Wt% of reactive SAN (with respect to SAN in the final blend) added during the second mixing step.

^d Wt% of reactive SAN (with respect to SAN in the final blend).

Table 1

second step, the SAN/EPR pre-mixture was compounded with neat SAN in order to comply with the final SAN/ EPR 75/25 weight composition $(7 \text{ min}, 200^{\circ} \text{C}$ and high shear). The content of $SAN-NH₂(carb)$ in the final polyblend was controlled by the weight composition of the SAN/EPR pre-dispersion.

(c) The third method was different from the second one in that the SAN/EPR weight composition of the pre-dispersion was kept constant, i.e. 20/80, 30/70 or 50/50, in contrast to the content of reactive SAN in the SAN phase that was changed. This pre-dispersion was then diluted with neat SAN. The content of reactive SAN- $NH₂(carb)$ in the final blend was thus controlled by the composition of the SAN phase of the pre-dispersion.

(d) The last mixing procedure was a combination of methods a and c. The pre-dispersions prepared in each of these methods were diluted with SAN/SAN-NH₂ mixture rather than with neat SAN during the second mixing step. The amount of SAN-NH₂ added in second step was calculated so as to comply with a reactive SAN content of 33.33 wt% in the final blend.

The mixing procedures and blends compositions are summarized in Tables 1 (series 2) and 2 (series 1). After mixing, the samples were rapidly compression molded into sheets (2 mm thick) at 200 \degree C for 5–7 min using a hydraulic press, and then allowed to cool down to room temperature.

2.3. Charpy impact resistance

The Charpy impact strength was measured at room temperature with a CEAST Fractoscope, using ASTM D256B notched specimens (0.35 mm notch). These samples were machined form the molded sheets and stored for analysis at room temperature.

2.4. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Observations were carried out with the transmission

electron microscope PHILIPS M100 at an accelerating voltage of 100 kV. Thin sections (90 nm) were prepared by ultramicrotomy (ULTRACUT E from REICHERT-JUNG) at -130° C and stained by exposure to RuO₄ vapors for ca. 2 h. SAN was observed as darker phases as result of a higher affinity for ruthenium tetraoxide compared to EPR. Micrographs were analyzed by using the KS 100 (Kontron Imaging System) software. An average number of 300 particles were considered per sample. The cross-sectional surface area of these particles was converted to an equivalent diameter by Eq. (1):

$$
d_{\text{equivalent}} = ((4 \text{ area})/\pi)^{0.5} \tag{1}
$$

2.5. Storage modulus

The shear storage moduli, E , at 25° C were measured by dynamical mechanical spectroscopy at 1 Hz with an equipment provided from Dupont.

2.6. Application of the Kerner model to the multiphase blend morphology

The multiphase morphology of the blends was treated by the two-step application of the Kerner equation to the twophase dispersed rubber particles [15]. This approach was successfully used by Luzinov et al. [16,17] in order to predict the elastic modulus of ternary blends consisting of PS matrix, SBR dispersed phase and inclusions of polyolefin within the SBR domains.

Several theories were proposed to predict the modulus of composites, which are usually valid to binary systems consisting of dispersion of one component in the matrix of the second one. Kerner proposed a theory for spherical dispersed phases [18–22]. In case of ideal stress transfer across the boundary, the elastic properties are derived by averaging the properties of the individual components,

Weight composition of the SAN/EPR pre-dispersion, where EPR = (EPDM/EP-*g*-MA 1:1). Wt% of reactive SAN in the final blend, with respect to the SAN phase.

leading to Eq. (2) for the shear storage modulus:

$$
E = E_1 \frac{\frac{\phi_2 E_2}{(7 - 5\nu_1)E_1 + (8 - 10\nu_1)E_2} + \frac{\phi_1}{15(1 - \nu_1)}}{\frac{\phi_2 E_1}{(7 - 5\nu_1)E_1 + (8 - 10\nu_1)E_2} + \frac{\phi_1}{15(1 - \nu_1)}}\n \tag{2}
$$

where *E* is the shear storage modulus of the polyblend, ϕ is the volume fraction and ν is the Poisson ratio. Subscript 1 refers to the matrix and 2 refers to the dispersed phase.

When no stress is transferred or when the matrix is much more rigid than the dispersed phase, the Kerner equation is simplified by assuming that E_2 is negligible:

$$
E = E_1 \frac{1}{1 + (\phi_2/\phi_1)[15(1 - \nu_1)/(7 - 5\nu_1)]}
$$
(3)

The inclusion of small particles (of the same chemical nature as the matrix) within the dispersed phase tends to increase the apparent volume fraction of this phase. Assuming that the SAN matrix is much more rigid than the dispersed phase, Eq. (3) predicts that the increase of the dispersed phase volume fraction results in lower elastic modulus. Conversely, the experimental value of *E* can provide information on the volume fraction of the dispersed rubber phase and thus on the amount of occluded SAN.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Phase morphology

In this study, special attention has been paid to the development of composite multiphase morphology in reactive SAN/EPR polyblends in relation to the mixing sequence and the kinetics of the interfacial reaction. This phase morphology, which consists of a SAN matrix and SAN sub-inclusions within the dispersed EPR phase, has been first characterized in terms of the number average diameter of the dispersed rubber particles (D_n) . Fig. 1 shows the dependence of D_n estimated by image analysis of the TEM micrographs on the content of reactive SAN in the SAN phase and the addition order of the polymers.

When the polyblends are prepared by the in situ compatibilization (method a), D_n decreases continuously upon addition of SAN-X, but much more rapidly in case of $SAN-NH₂$ than $SAN-carb$ (Fig. 1; method a). In these

Fig. 1. Dependence of the number average diameter of rubber particles on the SAN-X wt% for polyblends prepared according to either the in situ compatibilization (method a) or the reactive two-step process (method b) and modified by either SAN-NH₂ or SAN-carb.

experiments, the two reactive polymers, i.e. EPR-*g*-MA and SAN-X (X being either carbamate or primary amine), were intimately mixed within the host polymer before reaction, which is favorable to the formation of the graft copolymer at the interface. The experimental emulsification curves which compare the capability of SAN-X to participate to the interfacial reaction, confirm the superiority of SAN-NH₂. The higher D_n observed in case of SAN-carb results not only from a less efficient grafting reaction at the interface, but also from SAN sub-inclusions formed in the EPR phase.

Fig. 2 is a typical illustration of the difference observed in the phase morphology when SAN-carb is used rather than SAN-NH2, all the other conditions being the same. Since the solid rubber pellets have been added into the melted SAN major phase in order to avoid phase inversion and thus formation of SAN sub-inclusions in the rubber, the observa-

a

tion must result from the coalescence of poorly stabilized rubber particles [23]. Indeed, when the compatibilization reaction is slow compared to the phase dispersion, the SAN-*g*-EP copolymer is not formed in sufficient amounts to stabilize the interface and to inhibit the EPR particles coalescence. Then, SAN can be trapped within these rubber particles on the occasion of coalescence and be ultimately stabilized by the slowly progressing interfacial reaction. This mechanism is consistent with the increasing formation of sub-inclusions with mixing time, as shown elsewhere [23]. Thus the sub-inclusions should be formed not during melting and softening of the blend components [6,7] but rather in the later stage of mixing (when coalescence predominates). Would this speculation be correct, the amount of occluded SAN should decrease as the compatibilization efficiency is increased, thus at a higher interfacial reaction rate. Consistently, the amount of occluded SAN decreases when the more reactive $SAN-NH₂$ is used rather than $SAN-carb$, as confirmed by Figs. 2a and b.

In contrast to the mixing method (a), when a reactive SAN/EPR pre-dispersion is used (method b), the dependence of D_n on the content of reactive SAN goes through a maximum (Fig. 1; method b). In the mixing method (b), the SAN-NH₂(carb)/EP- g -MA ratio in the pre-dispersion is the same as in the in situ compatibilization, the difference being in the higher content of reactive SAN in the SAN phase. This is the reason why neat SAN is added during the second mixing step, and the final blend composition is the same whatever the method (a and b) used. It must be noted that the mixing time is two times longer $(2 \times 7 \text{ min})$ in method b than in method a (7 min) resulting in more complete formation of SAN-*g*-EPR copolymer at the interface. It may also be anticipated that the dispersion of the pre-blend in neat SAN (during the second step) will affect the final phase morphology. In this respect, D_n of the dispersed particles has been plotted in Fig. 3 versus the SAN (including SAN-X) content of the pre-dispersion, not only in this pre-blend but also in the final polyblend.

The two types of reactive SAN show a deep change in

 $\mathbf b$

Fig. 2. Transmission electron micrographs of polyblends prepared according to the in situ compatibilization (method a) and containing 20 wt% of either: (a) SAN-NH2; or (b) SAN-carb. Effect of the type of reactive SAN on the formation of SAN sub-inclusions.

Fig. 3. Dependence of the number average diameter, D_n , of the dispersed rubber particles in the final blends (method b) on the SAN (including SAN-X) wt% of SAN/EPR pre-dispersion (filled symbol) together with D_n of either SAN (in EPR matrix) or EPR (in SAN matrix) in the pre-dispersion (opened symbol). The dashed region indicates the phase inversion composition; (a) and (b) refer to SAN-NH₂ and SAN-carb modified blends, respectively.

phase morphology, in the range of 40–50 wt% SAN in the pre-dispersion, which is the signature of the phase inversion. Till up to 40 wt% SAN in the pre-dispersion, the SAN phase is dispersed in the EPR matrix (Fig. 3). Upon addition of neat SAN so as to reach 75 wt% in the final blend, the phase inversion must occur, which is however far from being complete as supported by very large D_n and TEM micrographs shown in Fig. 4a and b and e and f. The progress of the interfacial reaction between SAN-NH₂ and EPR-*g*-MA is expected to stabilize the SAN occlusions in the rubber domains, which are very poorly dispersed (large and highly deformed domains) because part of the graft copolymer is not available at the SAN matrix/EPR interface being localized at the SAN occlusion/EPR interface. Consistently, up to 4.44 wt% $SAN-NH₂$ in the final blend (with respect to the SAN phase), thus 40 wt% of SAN in the pre-dispersion, the diameter of the rubber particles and their content of SAN sub-inclusions are much higher than in the parent polyblends prepared by in situ compatibilization (Fig. 1, comparison of method (a) and (b) for $SAN-NH₂$ modified blends). All these observations suggest that SAN dispersed in the pre-blend contributes essentially to sub-inclusions in the final blend, which explains that the final dispersed rubber domains are much larger in method b compared to method a (Fig. 1).

When the SAN content of the pre-dispersion is higher than 50 wt%, the phase inversion has occurred, and the SAN phase is continuous (Fig. 3). Conversely, the rubber is dispersed, and this dispersion becomes finer as the SAN content is increased and it is stabilized by the interfacial reaction between SAN-NH2 and EPR-*g*-MA. The rubber particle size in the final blends is close to the size in the pre-dispersion, indicating that SAN added during the second blending step just contributes to swell the SAN matrix of the pre-dispersion. Therefore, beyond 6.66 wt% of SAN-NH₂ in the final blend (i.e. the 50/50 wt%/wt% SAN/EPR predispersion), the average diameter of the rubber droplets decreases sharply until meeting the emulsification curve reported for the in situ compatibilization (Fig. 1, comparison of method (a) and (b) for $SAN-NH₂$ modified blends). Fig. 4c and d shows a parallel decrease in the dispersed particle size and the amount of sub-inclusions. This observation suggests that most graft copolymer is now available at the surface of the rubber particles, rather than at the rubber/subinclusions interface.

In the case of SAN-carb which is responsible for a slower interfacial reaction, method b leads to final blends with finer rubber dispersion (Fig. 1) and smaller SAN retention in the EPR phase (Fig. 4e and f) up to 4.44 wt% of SAN in these blends (and thus phase inversion composition for the predispersion). This observation indicates that the comparatively slower interfacial reaction when SAN-carb is used rather than SAN-NH₂ results in the delayed immobilization of the SAN sub-inclusions in EPR and consequently in less important retention of SAN. Beyond the phase inversion composition of the pre-dispersion (SAN-carb content higher than 6.66 wt% in the final blends), the lower reactive SANcarb leads to coarser rubber dispersion (Fig. 3) and a higher content of sub-inclusions (Fig. 4g and h versus c and d) compared to SAN-NH₂. Beyond the phase inversion of the pre-dispersion, the SAN-*g*-EP graft copolymer is expected to be mostly localized at the proper interface, i.e. between the SAN matrix and EPR. The more rapid interfacial reaction of SAN-NH₂ compared to SAN-carb allows finer rubber dispersion to be stabilized in the pre-dispersion. The less efficient stabilization by SAN-carb accounts for particles

Fig. 4. Transmission electron micrographs of polyblends prepared according to a reactive two-step process (method b) and containing: (a) 2.86; (b) 6.66; (c) 10; and (d) 12.38 wt% SAN-NH2 and (e) 2.86; (f) 6.66; (g) 10; and (h) 12.38 wt% SAN-carb.

coalescence and SAN sub-inclusions formation during the first 7 min of mixing. Once again, dilution of the pre-dispersion by neat SAN does not change the rubber particle size indicating that the rubber dispersion is stable enough at the end of the premixing step (Fig. 3b).

As a rule, the lower reactivity of carbamate towards

maleic anhydride modulates the effect of the mixing methods (a and b) on the phase morphology (Fig. 1).

3.2. Charpy impact resistance

Fig. 5 shows that the impact resistance is rapidly

Fig. 5. Dependence of the Charpy impact resistance on the SAN-X wt% for polyblends prepared according to either the in situ compatibilization (method a) or the reactive two-step process (method b) and modified by either SAN-NH₂ or SAN-carb.

improved as the content of $SAN-NH₂$ is increased in blends processed by the mixing method a. Charpy impact strength of 34 kJ/m² is reached for 20 wt% of SAN-NH₂. In sharp contrast, when blending method b is used, the impact strength does not change significantly until reactive SAN content of 4.44 wt%, i.e. until the pre-dispersion contains 40 wt% SAN. Beyond this composition, a sharp increase in the impact resistance is firstly observed, which seems, however, to level off as the reactive SAN content is further increased $(>10 \text{ wt\%})$.

This observation highlights the importance of the wt composition of the SAN/EPR pre-dispersion in the control of the impact properties. Beyond 10 wt\% SAN-NH₂ (thus a SAN/EPR pre-dispersion of 60/40 wt%/wt%), the SAN phase of the pre-dispersion tends to be continuous, so that the SAN/EPR interface will not be much perturbed during the second mixing step and the experimental impact resistance is no longer dependent on the mixing methods a and b.

The slowly reactive SAN-carb is basically less efficient than SAN-NH2 in promoting the SAN toughness. For instance, the highest impact strength observed for 20 wt% SAN-carb (i.e. 16 kJ/m^2) is much smaller than the "plateau value" noted when SAN-NH₂ is substituted for SAN-carb (i.e. 34 kJ/m^2). Therefore it is not surprising that the blending procedure has a comparatively less pronounced effect on the SAN toughening in the case of SAN-carb-containing polyblends. A unique relationship is then observed between the impact strength and the SAN-carb content for the two mixing sequences a and b.

3.3. Impact strength–morphology relationship

The control of the phase morphology is one of the key factors able to provide polyblends with the desired mechanical properties. It is usually accepted that the rubber particle size has to be optimized for imparting the best toughness to a brittle matrix. The explanation has to be found in the crazing characteristic of brittle matrices, which is most efficiently stopped by small rubber particles, thus by a larger number of termination sites per unit volume at constant composition [24–26]. Notched Charpy impact strengths for the four series of blends are plotted in Fig. 6 against the number average rubber particle diameter. Clearly, the refinement of the rubber dispersion contributes to improve the SAN toughness. Moreover, below $0.9 \mu m$, further decreasing in the rubber particle diameter (down to $0.45 \mu m$ thus in the range of the optimum size for SAN toughening [24–26]), the increase in impact strength is spectacular whatever the way this particle size has been reached $(SAN-NH₂$ or SAN-carb, mixing method a and b).

3.4. Shear storage modulus

The shear storage modulus, *E*, has been measured at room temperature by dynamic mechanical spectroscopy. Experimental values of *E* for the four series of blends are reported in Fig. 7 versus the content of reactive SAN in the final blends. The elastic behavior of these reactive polyblends appears to be strongly dependent on both the mixing method, i.e. the use of a SAN/EPR pre-dispersion, and the type of reactive groups attached onto SAN, i.e. the interfacial grafting kinetics.

The mixing method b results in a sharp decrease of the elastic modulus when the reactive $SAN-NH₂$ content is increased up to 4.44 wt%, beyond which content the modulus increases although as slowly as the reactive SAN content is larger. Beyond this critical reactive $SAN-NH₂$ (which corresponds to the phase inversion of the pre-mixture, Fig. 3), the impact resistance and the rubber dispersion were also observed to be improved (Figs. 1 and 5). In the case of in situ compatibilization, the general shape of the curves observed with method b is maintained, although the effect of the reactive SAN content on the modulus is much less pronounced, particularly for SAN-NH₂. This observation will be explained later in the discussion.

The influence of the mixing method vanishes when the content of reactive SAN is high enough $(>15 \text{ wt})$. The modulus which is then measured is however higher by ca. 100 MPa in case of SAN-NH2 compared to SAN-carb.

In order to understand better the strong dependence of the shear storage modulus on the content of the compatibilizer precursors, the shear storage modulus has been plotted in Fig. 8 versus the number average diameter of rubber particles for the four series of blends. Although a unique relationship was observed when the impact strength was similarly analyzed (Fig. 6), the modulus is dependent on the rubber particle size, in a way that changes with the mixing sequence and the type of reactive SAN.

Whatever the SAN reactive groups, *E* regularly decreases when D_n is increased in method b. Conversely, in the case of polyblends prepared by method a, the intrinsic reactivity of SAN (amine or carbamate) is of prime importance. When SAN-carb is concerned, the same behavior as in method b is

Fig. 6. Charpy impact resistance versus number average rubber particle diameter for polyblends prepared according to either the in situ compatibilization (method a) or the reactive two-step process (method b), and modified by either SAN-NH₂ or SAN-carb.

observed, in contrast to what happens with SAN-NH2, since *E* is then essentially independent of the rubber particle size. This discrepancy suggests that the stiffness of the polyblends is not governed exclusively by the rubber dispersion. From the comparison of the rubber particle diameter, the shear storage modulus and the wt% of SAN occluded in the EPR phase (as determined by image analysis, [23]) in Table 3, it appears that *E* is inversely proportional to the wt% of occluded SAN at constant particle size. Indeed, for particle size of ca. $3.5 \mu m$ (Table 3), *E* decreases from 820 to 604 MPa when the sub-inclusion content increases from 0 to 6.71%. Actually, the apparent volume fraction of the dispersed rubber phase, ϕ_2 , is increased upon inclusion of very small particles of the same chemical nature as the matrix. According to the Kerner equations (Eqs. (2) and (3), see Section 2.6), the elastic modulus has to decrease as ϕ_2 is higher. Thus, the shear storage modulus of the SAN/ EPR reactive blends is controlled by the SAN sub-inclusions in the EPR phase, rather than by the average size of this phase. Nevertheless, more than often, a high SAN subinclusions concentration within the rubber droplets can be observed in parallel to the increase of EPR particle size, such that an apparent relationship between E and D_n can be drawn (Fig. 8).

Conversely, information on the volume fraction of the dispersed domains and, thus on the fraction of occluded SAN could be extracted from the shear storage modulus.

In order to know how the elastic modulus of the polyblends depends on the fraction of SAN occluded in the rubber phase, experimental values of *E* for blends reported in Table 3 have been plotted as a function of their wt% of occluded SAN (as determined by image analysis) (Fig. 9). In parallel, the Kerner model (see Section 2.6) has been applied to these two-phase dispersed-phase-containing blends (Table 3). Firstly, the modulus of the dispersed rubber particles containing well-defined amounts of SAN sub-inclusions has been calculated, assuming a strong

Fig. 7. Dependence of the shear storage modulus on the SAN-X wt% for polyblends prepared according to either the in situ compatibilization (method a) or the reactive two-step process (method b), and modified by either SAN-NH₂ or SAN-carb.

interfacial adhesion (interfacial reaction) between these sub-inclusions and the rubber. Eq. (2) was used on the assumption that the stress distribution is uniform in the EPR and the SAN dispersed phases. Constituent 1 of Eq. (2) is EPR and the Poisson ratio is 0.49. In a second step, the modulus of the final blend has been calculated from the modulus calculated for the composite rubber particles (component 2) and the stiffness of the continuous SAN phase (component 1, Poisson ratio of 0.35). For the sake of completeness, the two Kerner models (Eqs. (2) and (3)) have been used and compared. In the two cases, the calculated *E*s of the final blend are very similar, as illustrated by the single straight line in Fig. 9, but they decrease rapidly when the weight fraction of SAN sub-inclusions is increased.

Then, the amount of SAN immobilized within the EPR phase has been estimated from the shear storage modulus of the four series of blends and the dependence shown in Fig. 9 (Fig. 10). Before phase inversion occurs in the pre-dispersion, thus up to 4–6 wt% of SAN-X in the final blend, the pre-dispersed SAN (whose the fate is formation of subinclusions in the final blend) is more efficiently immobilized in case of SAN-NH₂ compared to SAN-carb, consistent with the higher reactivity of the former. Beyond the phase inversion, the situation is reversed such that fewer sub-inclusions are observed in the case of SAN bearing primary amine. Then, the topology of the dispersed composite phase (in the final blend) is reminiscent of the morphology of the SAN/EPR pre-dispersion, which is stable enough to resist perturbations when SAN is added during the second mixing step.

3.5. SAN retention

SAN has been extracted from polyblends by acetone which is its selective solvent. The amount of unextracted SAN has been quantified by FTIR analysis of the insoluble material and reference to a calibration curve [23]. This

Fig. 8. Shear storage modulus versus number average rubber particle diameter for polyblends prepared according to either the in situ compatibilization (method a) or the reactive two-step process (method b), and modified by either SAN-NH₂ or SAN-carb.

amount includes the fraction of SAN occluded within the dispersed rubber and SAN grafted at the interface between the matrix and the dispersed rubber phase, as expressed by Eq. (4):

$$
[SAN]_{\text{unextracted}} = [SAN/SAN-X]_{\text{occluded}} + [SAN-X]_{\text{gradient}} \tag{4}
$$

The percentage of SAN retention has been plotted in Fig. 11 versus the reactive SAN content for polyblends prepared by method b. The percentage of retention is defined as the wt% of SAN (including reactive SAN) in the pre-dispersion which cannot be extracted in the final blend [3]. This percentage has to be related to the amount of pre-dispersed SAN which does not undergo phase inversion upon addition of SAN in the final blend and/or which is chemically grafted to the EPR phase. Before phase inversion occurs in the predispersion, i.e. up to 4–6 wt% SAN-X in the final blend, the SAN retention is higher than the weight content of reactive SAN (20 wt%) in the SAN phase. This indicates that a significant amount of neat SAN cannot be extracted by acetone, being occluded within the EPR phase.

However, the percentage of retention is much higher in the case of SAN-NH₂ than SAN-carb. For instance, 88 wt% SAN retention is observed for polyblend containing 1.67 wt% SAN-NH₂ (thus $20/80$ wt%/wt% SAN/EPR pre-

Fig. 9. Shear storage modulus, *E*, versus wt% of SAN occluded within the EPR phase (Table 3) and comparison with theoretical values of *E* predicted by the two-step application of the Kerner model (straight line; see Eqs. (2)– (3)).

dispersion) compared to 39 wt% in case of SAN-carb. Clearly, the more reactive and thus more rapidly reacting $SAN-NH₂$ is more efficient than SAN -carb in stabilizing the composite phase morphology of EPR. Beyond 6.66 wt% reactive SAN, the SAN retention falls down below the weight fraction of reactive SAN indicating that most neat SAN has been extracted. Indeed, the fraction of reactive SAN retained after phase inversion is expected to be partitioned between chains grafted at the SAN matrix/EPR interface and sub-inclusions in the EPR phase. Moreover, Fig. 11 shows that SAN retention becomes independent of the reactive SAN groups, as the content of reactive SAN is increased. Since SAN-NH₂ provides finer rubber dispersion, fewer sub-inclusions and higher impact strength compared to SAN-carb, it appears that $SAN-NH₂$ is mostly grafted at the interface, although SAN-carb mainly serves to stabilize the SAN sub-inclusions. So, when the interfacial reaction is faster $(SAN-NH₂$ versus SAN-carb), this reaction preferably stabilizes the matrix/dispersed rubber interface at the expense of the rubber/SAN occlusion interface.

3.6. Interfacial activity of bound SAN

Fig. 12 shows the partition of the unextracted SAN

Table 3

Dependence of shear storage modulus (*E*), wt% of occluded SAN and average diameter of the EPR phase on the blending conditions

SAN-X	Mixing method	$SAN-X (wt\%)$	E(MPa)	SAN_{occluded} (wt%)	$D_n(\mu m)$
		0	820	0.0	3.5
SAN-carb	Method b	2.86	624	4.55	3.6
	Method b	4.44	604	6.71	3.9
	Method a	20	713	0.83	0.7
SAN-NH ₂	Method b	2.86	574	7.82	6.75
	Method b	4.44	573	7.68	6.25
	Method a	20	792	0.37	0.44

Fig. 10. Theoretical dependence of the wt% of occluded SAN Eqs. (2)–(3)) on the SAN-X wt% for polyblends prepared according to either the in situ compatibilization (method a) or the reactive two-step process (method b) and modified by either SAN-NH₂ or SAN-carb.

between chains grafted at the matrix/EPR interface and subinclusions in the rubber phase.

The wt% of occluded SAN has been extracted from the shear storage modulus (Fig. 10), and the fraction of SAN grafted at the interface has been estimated from Eq. (4). Where method (b) and $SAN-NH₂$ are concerned (Fig. 12a), and the wt% of reactive SAN in the final blend does not exceed 4–6 wt% (i.e. up to the phase inversion region in the pre-dispersion), the very small amount of SAN grafted at the interface suggests that reaction of $SAN-NH₂$ mainly

Fig. 11. SAN retention versus the SAN-X wt% for polyblends prepared according to the reactive two-step process (method b) and modified by either SAN-NH₂ or SAN-carb.

contributes to stabilize the SAN sub-inclusions. Beyond the phase inversion, the amount of $SAN-NH₂$ grafted at the matrix/rubber interface increases dramatically at the expense of the sub-inclusions stabilization. Then the main role of SAN-NH₂ is to emulsify the rubber dispersion and to improve the SAN/EPR interfacial adhesion. These results are in line with the sharp improvement in both the rubber dispersion and the SAN toughness observed beyond $4-6$ wt% SAN-NH₂. The lower reactivity of SAN-carb towards maleic anhydride, and thus the slower interfacial reaction, explains that the SAN sub-inclusions are less efficiently stabilized before the phase inversion and that the interfacial reaction predominates at the EPR/ SAN occlusion interface beyond the phase inversion (Fig. 12b).

The in situ compatibilization leads to a decrease of the total amount of unextracted SAN. In case of primary amine (Fig. 12c), SAN is mainly localized at the matrix/EPR interface, in sharp contrast to what happens when SAN-carb is used (Fig. 12d). These results are in line with the differences observed for the two types of reactive SAN in phase morphology and impact strength.

As expected, both the impact resistance (Fig. 13a) and the rubber dispersion (Fig. 13b) are improved when the wt% of SAN grafted at the SAN matrix/EPR interface is increased. More SAN-*g*-EPR compatibilizer is then available at the proper interface. It is worth noting that at constant amount of grafted SAN, the in situ compatibilization results in higher impact strength and higher specific interfacial surface area compared to the mixing method b which requires a step of pre-dispersion. Difference in the reaction time (7 min for method a versus 14 min for method b) can at least partly account for this observation.

All the above observations demonstrate that the interface between the SAN matrix and the dispersed rubber component must be stabilized by SAN-*g*-EP copolymer in order to impart high performances to the reactive blends. Increasing amount of reactive SAN-X increases the probability of copolymer formation at the interface, whereas the preferential localization of the compatibilizer at one of the EPR/ SAN matrix or EPR/SAN sub-inclusions interfaces strongly depends on both the rate of the interfacial reaction and the wt composition of the SAN/EPR pre-dispersion (in method b) (see Scheme 3; loci of formation of the SAN-*g*-EPR copolymer. The SAN-*g*-EPR can be localized at two interfaces: (1) the EPR/SAN matrix interface; and (2) the EPR/SAN sub-inclusions interface. The grafting at interface (2) stabilizes the sub-inclusions, which results in smaller elastic modulus *E*. The grafting at interface (1) is favorable to the dispersion of the rubber particles and to their adhesion to the matrix at the expense of the sub-inclusions stabilization).

The effect of reactive SAN content and pre-dispersion composition is illustrated in Fig. 14. At constant SAN content in the pre-dispersion, i.e. 20, 30 and 50 wt%, the amount of SAN-NH₂ has been varied (method c, see Table

Fig. 12. Partition of unextracted SAN between sub-inclusions in the EPR domains and SAN grafted at the EPR/SAN matrix interface; (a) and (b) refer to polyblends prepared according to the reactive two-step process (method b) and modified by SAN-NH₂ and SAN-carb, respectively; (c) and (d) refer to polyblends prepared according to the in situ compatibilization (method a) and modified by SAN-NH2 and SAN-carb, respectively.

2). The sharp increase in both the impact strength and the elastic modulus observed in the vicinity of 50 wt% SAN in the pre-dispersion at constant SAN-X is associated to phase inversion. Before the phase inversion, increasing amounts of $SAN-NH₂$ in method c mostly result in improved stability of the originally dispersed SAN and, as result, in decreasing modulus. Beyond the phase inversion, the increase in both the impact strength (see also Fig. 15) and the elastic modulus with the amount of $SAN-NH₂$ is the signature of the beneficial effect of grafting at the EPR/SAN matrix interface rather than at the EPR/SAN sub-inclusions interface.

Fig. 13. (a) Charpy impact strength; and (b) specific interfacial area, S_{sp} , versus the wt% of SAN grafted at the EPR/SAN matrix interface for polyblends prepared according to either the in situ compatibilization (method a) or the reactive two-step process (method b) and modified by either SAN-NH2 or SAN-carb.

Fig. 14. Double dependence of both: (a) Charpy impact strength; and (b) the shear storage modulus on the SAN-NH₂ wt% and the SAN (including SAN-NH₂) wt% of SAN/EPR pre-dispersion for polyblends modified by SAN-NH₂ and prepared according to the mixing methods a, b and c.

3.7. Progress in SAN toughening

Sub-inclusions of SAN in the EPR phase increases the volume fraction of the dispersed phase, so that less rubber would be required to reach the desired volume fraction of dispersed phase. Nevertheless, a high sub-inclusions concentration is generally found at the expense of the stabilization of the SAN matrix/EPR interface. As result, the stress transfer is not effective across this interface and no improvement in SAN toughness is observed. This suggests that it could be interesting to compatibilize the SAN matrix/ EPR interface, while keeping a high amount of occluded SAN. For this purpose, a fourth mixing method (method d, see Table 1, Section 2) has been used, which is a combination of methods a and c. SAN/EPR pre-dispersions have been dispersed in a pre-mixture of SAN and SAN-NH₂ rather than in virgin SAN (method c). The content of $SAN-NH₂$ in the SAN phase is such that the total SAN-NH₂ content is 33.33 wt%.

At constant composition of the SAN/EPR pre-dispersion, a sharp improvement in both the impact strength and the shear storage modulus is observed when additional SAN- $NH₂$ is added to the SAN phase (method d, see Table 1) compared to the case where the whole reactive SAN is added to the rubber phase (method c, see Table 1). The explanation is that the $SAN-NH₂$ added to the SAN phase during the second mixing step reacts with EPR-*g*-MA at the

Scheme 3.

SAN matrix/EPR interface which destabilizes the original particle-in-particle morphology of the EPR phase. As result, a fraction of the SAN initially trapped as sub-inclusions within the rubber is released, the amount of occluded SAN decreases and the elastic modulus increases. In parallel, the proper interface of SAN matrix and EPR dispersed phase is compatibilized, such that the stress transfer across this interface and the impact performances are improved.

4. Conclusions

This work has discussed a strategy able to generate composite multiphase morphology and has clearly shown the strong dependence of mechanical properties on phase morphology. Formation of sub-inclusions within the dispersed rubber phase basically results from coalescence of dispersed rubber phases and local phase inversion. These

Fig. 15. Initial slope (IS) of the dependence of the impact strength on the SAN-NH₂ content in the final blend for various compositions (i.e. SAN wt%) of the SAN/EPR pre-dispersion.

phenomena occur spontaneously whenever the SAN/SAN-X matrix is melted first. The SAN occluded particles are then stabilized by the (delayed) interfacial reaction. As a rule, higher grafting rate has a depressive effect on the phase coalescence and thus on the formation of sub-inclusions (Scheme 3; method a). As an alternative, this substructure can be forced by pre-blending a small part of SAN (including reactive SAN) with the rubber phase. Dilution of the SAN/rubber pre-dispersion by the rest of SAN can trigger phase inversion of the originally dispersed SAN. In contrast to the previous technique, faster reaction is favorable to the retention of occluded SAN, since it prevents, more efficiently, the phase inversion of predispersed SAN and coalescence with the matrix (Scheme 3; method b).

These observations emphasize the importance of the rate at which the interfacial reaction occurs with respect to the coalescence rate which governs phase inversion. The grafting rate affects not only the amount of the compatibilizer formed in situ but also its localization with direct consequence on the size and the morphology of the dispersed phase, i.e. composite morphology or not. It is essential to note that these kinetic effects can be modulated by changing the mixing sequence (or at least the order of addition) of blend components. The experimental data collected in this work indicate that the melting rate of the reactive polymers, mixed in one step, can have a strong effect on the development of the phase morphology and must be taken into account whenever the scaling up of the process is considered.

Acknowledgements

The authors are very much indebted to DSM for financial support and a fellowship to one of them (C.P.). They are grateful to the "Services Fédéraux des Affaires Scientifiques, Techniques et Culturelles" for general support to CERM in the frame of the "PAI-4: Chimie Supramoléculaire et Catalyse Supramoléculaire" and to Mrs M. Dejeneffe for ultramicrotoming and technical assistance.

References

- [1] Van Duin M, Koning CE, Pagnoulle C, Jérôme R. Prog Polym Sci 1998;23:707.
- [2] Wu S. Polym Eng Sci 1990;30(13):753.
- [3] Favis BD, Lavallee C, Deredouri A. J Mater Sci 1992;27:4211.
- [4] Ban LL, Doyle MJ, Disko MM, Smith GR. Polym Commun 1988;29:163.
- [5] Sundararaj U. PhD thesis, University of Minnesota, 1994.
- [6] Maréchal Ph. PhD thesis, Université Catholique de Louvain, Belgium, 1993.
- [7] Yamaguchi N, Chikanari T. Soc Plast Ind/Soc Plast Eng Conf Preprints, Las Vegas, 1990, p. 165.
- [8] Salager JL. In: Becher P, editor. Encyclopedia of emulsion technology, vol. 3. New York: Marcel Dekker, 1988 (Chap. 2).
- [9] Smith DH, Nwosu SN, Johnson GK, Lim KH. Langmuir 1992;8:1076.
- [10] Smith DH, Lee KH. J Phys Chem 1990;94:3746.
- [11] Lee KH, Smith DH. J Colloid Interface Sci 1991;142:278.
- [12] Dickinson K. J Colloid Interface Sci 1981;84:284.
- [13] Pagnoulle C, Koning CE, Leemans L, Jérôme R. 1997. Dutch Application No. 1007074.
- [14] England WP, Stoddard GJ, Scobbo JJ. 1994. US Patent No. 5310795.
- [15] Rösch J. J Polym Eng Sci 1995;35:1917.
- [16] Luzinov I, Xi K, Pagnoulle C, Huynh-Ba G, Jérôme R. Polymer 1999;40:2511.
- [17] Luzinov I, Pagnoulle C, Jérôme R. Polymer 2000;41:3381.
- [18] Kerner EH. Proc Phys Soc 1956;69B:808.
- [19] Hashin Z, Shtrikman S. J Mech Phys Solids 1963;11:127.
- [20] Uemura S, Takayanagi M. J Appl Polym Sci 1966;10:113.
- [21] Kraus G, Rollman KW. Adv Chem Ser 1971:99.
- [22] Leclair A, Favis BD. Polymer 1996;37:4723.
- [23] Pagnoulle C, Koning CE, Leemans L, Jérôme R. Macromolecules 2000;33:6275
- [24] Bragaw CG. Adv Chem Ser 1971;99:86.
- [25] Kambour RP. J Polym Sci 1966;4:17.
- [26] Wu S. Polym Engng Sci 1990;30(13):753.